

**NORTH BAY WATER REUSE AUTHORITY  
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

Agenda

Monday, June 20, 2011

9:30 AM

Conference Call Meeting

Call in Number: 1-866-502-8312 – Passcode: 190367#

	1.		Call to Order and Self Introductions
	2.	Action	Approval of Agenda
	3.		Public Comments
<b>Pages 2 – 4</b>	4.	Action	Consent Items a. Minutes May 23, 2011
<b>Page 5 Pages 6 - 13 Pages 14 - 15</b>	5.	Information	Report from the Program Manager a. Consultant Progress Reports b. Action Items from May 23, 2011 Meeting
<b>Pages 16 - 17</b>	6.	Discussion	Budget Summary for the Period Ending May 31, 2011
<b>Pages 18 - 19</b>	7.	Information	Status of WaterSMART Grant Applications
	8.	Information	ARRA Projects Report
<b>Page 20</b>	9.	Information	Federal Legislative Update
<b>Pages 21 - 30</b>	10.	Information	State Legislative Update
	11.	Information	Proposition 84 – Integrated Regional Water Management Program Update and Status
<b>Pages 31 - 32</b>	12.	Information	EIR/EIS Approval Process Update
	13.	Information	Outreach Program Report
	14.	Information	Items from Committee, Agency Staff, or Consultants
	15.	Information	Items for Next Agenda (July 18, 2011, Novato Sanitary District)

The North Bay Water Reuse Authority complies with ADA requirements and will attempt to reasonably accommodate individuals with disabilities upon request. Please contact **Chuck Weir** at **510-410-5923** with any questions.

**North Bay Water Reuse Authority  
Technical Advisory Committee  
Minutes  
May 23, 2011**

**1. Call to Order and Self Introductions**

Chair James called the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting to order at 11:15 a.m. on Monday, May 23, 2011. The meeting was held at Novato Sanitary District, 500 Davidson Street, Novato, CA 94945.

## Committee Members Present

Chair Beverly James	Novato Sanitary District
Vice-Chair Tim Healy	Napa Sanitation District
Pam Jeane	Sonoma Valley CSD
Drew McIntyre	North Marin Water District
Phillip Miller	Napa County
Renee Webber	Sonoma County Water Agency
Mark Williams	Las Gallinas Valley Sanitary District

## Others Present

Chuck Weir, Program Manager	Oakley Water / RMC
Kevin Booker	Sonoma County Water Agency
Ginger Bryant	Bryant & Associates
Megan Clark	Las Gallinas Valley Sanitary District
Andria Loutsch	CDM
Taylor McDaniel	Rauch Communications
Susan McGuire	Las Gallinas Valley Sanitary District
Monica Oakley	Oakley Water / RMC
Pilar Oñate-Quintana	KP Public Affairs
Jim O'Toole	ESA
Michael Savage	CDM

**2. Approval of the Agenda**

The Agenda was unanimously approved as presented.

**3. Public Comments**

There were no public comments.

**4. Consent Items:**

a. Minutes, April 18, 2011 and May 16, 2011

Both sets of minutes were unanimously approved as presented.

**5. Report from the Program Manager**

a. Consultant Progress Reports

Consultant progress reports, consistent with the tasks in their scopes of work for April 2011 were included in the packet. The report from ESA will be included in the next Agenda packet

**b. Status of Action Items from April 18, 2011 Meeting**

A list of action items, including task, responsible party, due date, status, and completion date was included in the packet. All items are either complete or are in process. Renee Webber updated the status of agreement approval for the consultants based on the Board approved budget modification in February 2011.

**6. Review of May 23, 2011 Board Meeting**

The action items from the Board meeting were reviewed. They will be added to the Action Item List

**7. Budget and Financial Issues**

The TAC reviewed the budget summary. Kevin Booker noted that an item would be going to the SCWA Board on June 21, 2011 which would authorize the General Manager to execute all consultant agreements. He indicated that agreements should be fully approved the first or second week in July. The scopes need to list task, deliverables, and costs for Phase 1 and Phase 2 separately. As an action item, Kevin Booker will send the latest agreement template to the consultants for review.

**8. Status of WaterSMART Grant Applications**

Updates for this were provided in the Board meeting.

**9. ARRA Projects Report**

Updates were provided in the Board meeting. Kevin Booker distributed a document detailing the costs for the federal reporting training. As an action item, approval for this, including funding will be included in the June 20, 2011 Agenda.

**10. Federal Legislative Update**

Updates for this were provided in the Board meeting.

**11. State Legislative Update**

Updates for this were provided in the Board meeting.

**12. Proposition 84 – Integrated Regional Water Management Program Update and Status**

Updates for this were provided in the Board meeting. As an action item a press release will be issued for awards through the IRWM and WaterSMART programs.

**13. EIR/EIS Approval Process Update**

Jim O'Toole distributed a summary of EIR/EIS issues and updated the TAC. As an action item, he will distribute copies of the Record of Decision to the group.

**14. Outreach Program Report**

Taylor McDaniel reviewed current and future outreach activities, including updates to the website.

**15. Items from Committee, Agency Staff, or Consultants**

There was discussion about NBWRA giving presentations as conferences and applying for awards. Organizations such as CASA, CWEA, and WEFTEC were discussed. As an action item, information regarding conferences and awards should be shared with the group.

**16. Items for Next Agenda (Next Meeting May 16, 2011)**

The next meeting will be a conference call on June 20, 2011 9:30 a.m. The call in number and Passcode are 1-866-502-8312 and 190367#, respectively.

Action items resulting from the Board and TAC meetings include:

- a. Complete agreements with the consultants per the approved FY2011/12 Budget.
- b. Issue a Request for Proposal for Outreach Services.
- c. Send copies of the consultant monthly reports to the Board via email and include the most current reports in the quarterly Board Agenda packets.
- d. Develop a Conflict of Interest Policy for Board consideration at the August 15, 2011 meeting.
- e. Check on the status of the \$500,000 funding for Marin Municipal Water District for the Peacock Gap project.
- f. Send the latest agreement template to the consultants for review.
- g. Include approval, including funding, for federal reporting training in the June 20, 2011 TAC Agenda.
- h. Develop a press release announcing receipt of funding from IRWM and WaterSMART.
- i. Share notices regarding conference presentations and award programs with the TAC.

Chair James adjourned the meeting at 11:58 a.m.

*NEXT MEETING INFORMATION: Next meeting will be a conference call on June 20, 2011 at 9:30 a.m. The call in number and Passcode are 1-866-502-8312 and 190367#, respectively.*

C:\Users\Carol\Documents\RMC Water and Environment\NBWRA\Agendas\2011-04\2011\_04\_18\_NBWRA\_TAC\_Minutes.docx

**ITEM NO. 5 REPORT FROM THE PROGRAM MANAGER**

The May 2011 Report from the Program Manager includes the following items:

**Item No. 5.a Consultant Progress Reports for May 2011****Item No. 5.b Status of Action Items from the May 23, 2011 Meeting**Additional Agenda Items

Following is a brief summary of some additional Agenda items:

**Item No. 7 Status of WaterSMART Grant Applications**

Please refer to the May 23, 2011 letter from USBR approving the WaterSMART Grant Application in the amount of \$1,291,175. The letter is included in the packet as Item No. 7. Additional information can be provided by Ginger Bryant and Kevin Booker.

**Item No. 8 ARRA Projects Report**

Andria Loutsch and Kevin Booker will update the TAC on the status of the ARRA projects, including reporting requirements.

**Item No. 9 Federal Legislative Update**

Please refer to the information provided by Bryant & Associates in Agenda Item No. 9.

**Item No. 10 State Legislative Update**

Please refer to the information provided by Pilar Oñate-Quintana, which includes a summary of current bills of interest and information on the Integrated Regional Water Management Program.

**Item No. 11 Proposition 84 – Integrated Regional Water Management Program Update and Status**

Participants will update the TAC on this item. Also refer to the information provided in Item No. 10.

**Item No. 12 EIR/EIS Approval Process Update**

Please refer to the update provided by Jim O'Toole, ESA, in Agenda Item No. 12. Note that reports for both the May and June meetings are included as the May report was not included in the last packet.

**Item No. 13 Outreach Program Report**

Martin Rauch and Taylor McDaniel, Rauch Communications, will discuss current and future outreach efforts.

Page 1

Agenda Explanation  
North Bay Water Reuse Authority  
Technical Advisory Committee  
June 20, 2011

**ITEM NO. 5.a CONSULTANT PROGRESS REPORTS**

**Action Requested:** None at this time.

Attached are progress reports from NBWRA consultants for May 2011. The progress reports are of similar format and are based on the tasks in each of the consultant agreements. The intent is for the reports to be consistent with the budget information and will be for the previous month. Please note also that the April 2011 Report from ESA is also included as it was not included in the April 2011 packet.

Note that the Report from Bryant & Associates is included in Agenda Item No. 9, since it provides additional information on federal legislation and other items.

Consultants will also provide verbal reports on items at the meeting.

North Bay Water Reuse Authority  
Professional Services by Oakley Water / RMC  
RMC Job Number 0047-046.01

## **Progress Report**

**May 2011**

### **Task 1 – Orientation of Program Manager**

- No work was conducted on this task during the period.

### **Task 2 – Board Meeting Management**

- Prepared Agenda Packet for May 16, 2011 Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting.
- Prep for and participate in May 16, 2011 TAC conference call meeting.
- Drafted minutes for May 16, 2011 TAC conference call meeting.
- Reviewed and edited reports from consultant team. Prepared Agenda Packets for May 23, 2011 Board and TAC meetings.
- Prepared for and attended May 23, 2011 Board and TAC meetings at Novato Sanitary District.
- Drafted minutes for May 23, 2011 Board and TAC meetings.
- Prepared list of short-term action items discussed at the May 23, 2011 Board and TAC meetings. Transmitted action items to meeting attendees. Tracked progress of action items from previous meetings. Revised list based on comments received.
- Updated contact and distribution lists.
- Updated meeting schedules for FY2011/12.

### **Task 3 – Financial Management**

- Updated Consultant costs and Budget Summary through April 30, 2011 and included in Board Agenda packet.
- Drafted revised FY2011/12 Budget for Phase 1 and Phase 2 for Board and TAC Agenda packets.
- Participated in conference call with Agency staff to discuss scope and costs.
- Continued efforts to reconcile assessments and payments made by Member Agencies.

### **Task 4 – Consultant Management & Coordination**

- Participated in one consultant team conference call.
- Public Outreach Consultant: Reviewed consultant monthly invoice and cost summary and notified Agency staff that they were approved for payment. Updated budget summary. Reviewed and commented on WaterSMART award press release.
- State Legislative Consultant: No activities this period.

**Task 5 – Program Planning**

- Revised FY2011/12 Scope and Costs for agreement with Agency. Revised scope and costs to separate Phase 1 and Phase 2 for agreement with Agency.
- Prepared May 2011 Program Progress Report, budget status report, earned value graph, and invoice.

**Task 6 – Point of Contact for Inquiries**

- No work was conducted on this task during the period.

**Task 7 – Contingency for Additional Meetings**

- No work was conducted on this task during the period.

**May 2011 - KP Public Affairs - NBWRP Report**

Below, please find a summary of significant activities conducted on behalf of NBWRP in May:

- Continued to monitor legislation relevant to/of potential concern to NBWRP.  
  
In particular, KP continues to monitor bills included on the attached report – which include measures pertinent to integrated regional water management plans and recycled water. KP continues to monitor all legislation for emergence of potential areas of interest or concern.
- Continued to monitor and provide NBWRP with updates regarding the Prop. 84 “Round 1” Implementation Grants, including providing an update when the Round 1 draft grant recommendations were announced and providing information regarding steps to finalization of recommendations (see attached).
- Attended May NBWRP and TAC meetings and monitored NBWRP e-mails pertaining to current program activities and potential future program activities.


**Rauch Communication Consultants Inc.**

936 Old Orchard Rd – Campbell, CA 95008

PH 408-374-0977

FX 408-374-2197

E info@rauchcc.com

**M E M O**


---

**Progress Report, May 2011**
*North Bay Water Reuse Authority Program Outreach Activities:*
**Task 1 – Hold Kickoff Meeting**

- No activities were carried out on this task for this period.

**Task 2 – Research and Information Gathering**

- No activities were carried out on this task for this period.

**Task 3 – Update Outreach Materials**

- Write and post web updates.

**Task 4 – Update Outreach Plan, Themes and Messages**

- No activities were carried out on this task for this period.

**Task 5– Acquaint the Public with the Recycled Water Program**

- Research and write press release on WaterSMART program, review with members, distribute and follow up with phone calls. Write and post web updates: added press release, changes to agenda and board section, added meeting schedule, other edits. Develop and review potential press topics. Track media.

**Task 6 – Conduct Focused Outreach Activities**

- No activities were carried out on this task for this period.

**Task 7 – Conduct Remaining Outreach Actions**

- No activities were carried out on this task for this period.

**Task 8 – Coordination and Support**

- Prepare monthly report.
- TAC and Board meetings.
- Respond to calls and e-mails through hotline service.
- Create invoice tracking spreadsheet for the entire outreach program from the beginning.

***North Bay Water Reuse Authority***  
Professional Services by CDM

**May 2011 Progress Report**

**Engineering Support for NBWRA Activities in Fiscal Year 2010/2011**

- Participated in conference calls with Reclamation regarding Phase 2 Cooperative Agreement and rewrite of scope of work and re-estimates for fees.
- Attended NBWRA Board of Directors and TAC meetings.
- Participated in consultant and SCWA staff conference call.
- Project management activities.

**Dual Purpose Storage Investigation**

- Project management activities.

**ARRA Reporting Coordination**

- Updated project construction schedules.
- Revised and distributed reporting and reimbursement templates.
- Reviewed and prepared quarterly reimbursement information.
- Held conference call for NBWRA ARRA construction contacts to discuss construction activities and requirements.
- Reviewed draft audit checklists.
- Participated in five member agency ARRA audits.
- Project management activities.

**Phase 2 Feasibility Studies: Membership and Outreach Task**

- Updated provided on progress to NBWRA Board of Directors and TAC.

Sonoma County Water Agency  
Professional Services by ESA  
ESA project # 206088.01

**Progress Report**

**April 1-30, 2011**

*Environmental Science Associates (ESA) Activities:*

**Task 3 – EIR/EIS**

**Task 4- Final EIR/EIS**

- Record of Decision signed January 26, 2011.

**Task 5- Project Management**

- Participated in consultant conference call.
- Reviewed invoices and created budget spreadsheets for Agency

**Task 6 – Biological Opinion (BO)**

- BO issued 7/7/10.

**Task 7 – Permitting**

- Section 106 consultation completed March 21.
- Development of Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program tracking and provided environmental review/input to individual design teams.

Sonoma County Water Agency  
Professional Services by ESA  
ESA project # 206088.01

**Progress Report**

**May 1-31, 2011**

*Environmental Science Associates (ESA) Activities:*

**Task 3 – EIR/EIS**

**Task 4- Final EIR/EIS**

- Record of Decision signed January 26, 2011.

**Task 5- Project Management**

- Participated in consultant conference call.
- Reviewed invoices and created budget spreadsheets for Agency

**Task 6 – Biological Opinion (BO)**

- BO issued 7/7/10.

**Task 7 – Permitting**

- Section 106 consultation completed March 21.
- Development of Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program tracking and provided environmental review/input to individual design teams.

Page 1

Agenda Explanation  
North Bay Water Reuse Authority  
Technical Advisory Committee  
June 20, 2011

**ITEM NO. 5.b STATUS OF ACTION ITEMS FROM MAY 23, 2011 MEETING**

**Action Requested:** None at this time.

Attached is a summary of the action items following the May 23, 2011 Board and TAC meetings. It was distributed following those meetings and has been updated for the June 20, 2011 meeting. The list includes task, responsible party, due date, status, and completion date. All items are either complete or in progress.

NBWR Board and TAC Short-Term Action Item List Meeting Date: May 23, 2011

Task	Responsible Party	Due Date	Status	Completion Date
Finalize consultant agreements per the FY2011/12 approved budget	SCWA	6/30/2011	In progress. Kevin Booker can provide an update during the June 20, 2011 TAC Conference Call.	
Assess member agencies for costs related to FY2011/12 approved budget	SCWA	7/31/2011	Assessments issued 4/29/11.	4/29/2011
Issue a Request for Proposal for Outreach Services	SCWA	6/30/2011	In progress. An update can be provided during the June 20, 2011 TAC Conference Call.	
Send copies of the consultant monthly reports to the Board via email and include the most current reports in the quarterly Board Agenda packets	Weir and consultant team	10th of every month	Reports for May sent to Board Agenda email list 6/16/11	6/16/2011
Develop a Conflict of Interest Policy for Board consideration at the August 15, 2011 meeting	SCWA Legal Counsel	8/9/2011	In progress.	
Check on the status of the \$500,000 funding for Marin Municipal Water District for the Peacock Gap project	Booker	6/15/2011	In progress	
Send the latest agreement template to the consultants for review	Booker	6/3/2011	Agreements are in the SCWA queue. Drafts for Phase 1 and 2 for Program Management have been sent to RMC.	6/14/2011
Include approval, including funding, for federal reporting training in the June 20, 2011 TAC Agenda	Weir and Booker	6/14/2011	In progress	
Develop a press release announcing receipt of funding from IRWM and WaterSMART	Rauch	6/3/2011	Completed. Press release issued 5/31/11. Article in Marin Independent Journal on 6/8/11.	5/31/2011
Assess member agencies for costs related to budget amendments approved by Board on February 14, 2011	SCWA	4/20/2011	Assessments issued 4/29/11.	4/29/2011
Review the Assessments Due column in the budget summary document for the Member Agencies.	Weir and Booker	4/30/2011	In progress	
Share notices regarding conference presentations and award programs with the TAC	All	Ongoing		
The master schedule will be updated based on discussion and input from the Member Agencies.	O'Toole & Member Agencies	Ongoing		
Update the final versions of the PR documents to reflect correct dates when available.	RCC	subject to EIR/EIS finalization	To be completed prior to distribution.	
Distribute the final PR documents to appropriate elected officials in Sacramento and Washington D.C.	RCC, Bryant and Associates, and KP	subject to EIR/EIS finalization	Revisions made and used in March 2011 D.C. trip. Additional revisions being made for Sacramento meetings.	

Page 1

Agenda Explanation  
North Bay Water Reuse Authority  
Technical Advisory Committee  
June 20, 2011

**ITEM NO. 6 BUDGET SUMMARY - PERIOD ENDING MAY 31, 2011**

**Action Requested:** None at this time.

Attached is the budget summary for the period ending May 31, 2010. All tasks are on target to remain within budget through the end of the fiscal year.

**Recommendation**

None at this time.

# North Bay Water Reuse Authority

Monthly Project Cost Summary  
Period Ending: May 31, 2011

## I. TOTAL PROJECT COSTS

A	B	C	D	C + D + E	F	G	F + G + H	E - H = I	J	K	J + K = L	H - L = M	% MI/H
Project	Consultant	Original Budget	Amendments	Revised Budget	Original Contract	Amendments	Total Contract	Uncommitted	Prior Expenses	This Period Expenses	Total Expenses	Remaining	% Avail.
Program Manager	RMC / Oakley Water	100,000	150,000	250,000	100,000	150,000	250,000	-	238,280	5,204	243,484	6,516	2.6%
Program Development and Federal Funding	Bryant & Associates	225,000	636,300	861,300	225,000	636,300	861,300	-	830,993	8,313	839,306	21,994	2.6%
Federal Authorizations and Appropriations	The Ferguson Group	50,000	-	50,000	50,000	-	50,000	-	40,000	5,000	45,000	5,000	10.0%
Legislative/Program Development (State)	KP Public Affairs	35,000	95,000	130,000	60,000	70,000	130,000	-	121,065	5,000	126,065	3,995	3.0%
Public Outreach	Rauch Comm.	120,000	246,000	366,000	108,935	253,200	362,135	3,865	358,904	2,138	361,042	1,093	0.3%
Engineering/Economic	CDM	240,000	466,800	706,800	240,000	466,800	706,800	-	621,328	31,795	653,124	53,676	7.6%
Environmental	ESA	1,000,000	391,000	1,391,000	999,308	391,000	1,390,308	692	1,390,285	-	1,390,285	23	0.0%
Contingency	n/a	10,000	85,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA
<b>TOTAL BUDGET</b>		<b>\$1,780,000</b>	<b>\$2,070,100</b>	<b>\$3,755,100</b>	<b>\$1,783,243</b>	<b>\$1,967,300</b>	<b>\$3,750,543</b>	<b>\$4,557</b>	<b>\$3,600,855</b>	<b>\$57,450</b>	<b>\$3,658,306</b>	<b>\$92,238</b>	<b>2.5%</b>

## Notes:

- Budget data based on NBWRA approved budgets for FY2007/2008, FY2008/2009, FY2009/2010, and FY2010/2011. In general Amendments implies FY08/09, FY09/10, and FY10/11, but the original budget may cover more than one fiscal year.
- Uncommitted indicates either a contract has not been issued or an existing contract has not yet been amended per approved NBWRA FY Budgets.
- \$58,000 moved from contingency to Federal Legislative for a total in this project of \$208,000 for FY2009/10. Approved January 25, 2010. Moved \$37,000 from contingency to environmental. Approved August 16, 2010.
- \$120,000 in CDM Total Contract is funded entirely by USBR for the dual-purpose and financial capability analysis.
- \$42,000 budget amendment approved September 20, 2010 for CDM ARRA reporting.
- FY2010/2011 Budget adopted May 17, 2010. Total was for \$545,000
- Budget amendment approved February 14, 2011 increases Bryant & Associates agreement by a total of \$79,300, ESA agreement by \$65,000, and CDM agreement by \$28,200. RMC agreement also approved for \$1,600 increase but will not be implemented du

## 2. USBR and NBWRA COST SHARING

Project	Consultant	Original Budget	Amendments	Revised Budget	USBR Original	USBR Amended	USBR Revised	NBWRA Original	NBWRA Amended	NBWRA Revised
Program Manager	RMC / Oakley Water	100,000	150,000	250,000	-	-	-	100,000	150,000	250,000
Program Development and Federal Funding	Bryant & Associates	225,000	557,000	782,000	-	-	-	225,000	557,000	782,000
Federal Authorizations and Appropriations	The Ferguson Group	50,000	-	50,000	-	-	-	-	50,000	50,000
Legislative/Program Development (State)	KP Public Affairs	35,000	95,000	130,000	-	-	-	35,000	95,000	130,000
Public Outreach	Rauch Comm.	120,000	246,000	366,000	80,000	-	80,000	240,000	246,000	486,000
Engineering/Economic	CDM	240,000	437,000	677,000	175,000	120,000	295,000	175,000	395,000	570,000
Environmental	ESA	1,000,000	326,000	1,326,000	500,000	74,000	574,000	570,000	289,000	859,000
Contingency	n/a	10,000	85,000	-	-	-	-	10,000	27,000	37,000
<b>TOTAL BUDGET</b>		<b>\$1,780,000</b>	<b>\$1,896,000</b>	<b>3,581,000</b>	<b>\$755,000</b>	<b>\$194,000</b>	<b>\$949,000</b>	<b>\$1,355,000</b>	<b>\$1,809,000</b>	<b>\$3,164,000</b>

## 3. MEMBER AGENCIES

NBWRA Agencies	Total Assessments	Assessments			Previous FY Assessment			This Period		Assessments for FY2010-11 Budget
		Assessments Paid	Assessments Due	Assessments Carryover	Assessment Balance	Interest Earned	Expenses Paid	Member Balance		
Las Gallinas Valley Sanitary District	583,157	360,698	222,459	65,298	415,996	5,844	17,060	31,920	19,076	
Napa Sanitation District	1,078,594	815,581	263,013	28,140	843,721	5,625	31,450	134,003	35,938	
Novato Sanitary District	776,003	571,414	204,589	18,432	589,846	6,613	24,391	42,485	25,157	
Sonoma Valley County Sanitation District	769,641	478,527	291,114	69,295	547,822	8,016	43,570	43,570	23,516	
Sonoma County Water Agency	450,848	276,462	174,386	42,753	319,215	4,708	13,189	22,465	15,863	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$3,658,243</b>	<b>\$2,502,682</b>	<b>\$1,155,561</b>	<b>\$213,919</b>	<b>\$2,716,601</b>	<b>\$30,805</b>	<b>\$108,502</b>	<b>\$274,443</b>	<b>119,550</b>	

USBR share = \$54,550

Note: assessments not yet included in totals

## 4. MEMBER AGENCIES FY 2010/2011

NBWRA Agencies	Total Assessments	Prop 84		Assessments	
		Assessment	Assessment	Assessments Paid	Assessments Due
Las Gallinas Valley Sanitary District	85,689	3500	89,189	-	-
Napa Sanitation District	157,974	3500	161,474	-	-
Novato Sanitary District	122,512	3500	126,012	-	-
Sonoma Valley County Sanitation District	112,577	3500	116,077	-	-
Sonoma County Water Agency	66,248	-	66,248	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$545,000</b>	<b>\$14,000</b>	<b>\$559,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## Notes:

(J) Payments made on behalf of member agencies may not match consultant expenses due to timing of invoicing and payment processing.



## United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION  
PO Box 25007  
Denver, Colorado 80225-0007



IN REPLY REFER TO:

84-27810  
ACM-1.10

May 23, 2011

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Sonoma County Water Agency  
Attn: Mr. Grant Davis  
404 Aviation Boulevard  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Subject: Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) No. R11SF80311 – WaterSMART:  
Title XVI Water Reclamation and Reuse Program Construction Activities for Fiscal  
Year 2011 – Your Application Titled, “North Bay Water Reuse Program: Completion  
of the Novato South Service Area – Hamilton Field (Novato South)”

Dear Mr. Davis:

Thank you for submitting an application under the Title XVI Water Reclamation and Reuse Program Construction Activities FOA. Reclamation conducted a review of applications for funding based on the evaluation criteria included in the FOA announced on December 13, 2010, and posted at [www.grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov). The Bureau of Reclamation is pleased to inform you that your application was among those receiving the highest ratings and is now being considered for award of a financial assistance agreement. Reclamation anticipates awarding Federal funds in the amount of \$1,291,175 for your proposed project. Please note that a small portion of this amount will be set aside for Reclamation to ensure the project’s Federal regulatory and statutory compliance, and to otherwise oversee the implementation of the project phase. Reclamation may also adjust the award amount in order to ensure that the project remains in compliance with statutory requirements as further information about your project phase is developed.

A financial assistance agreement will not be executed, nor funds awarded, until all Title XVI pre-construction requirements have been met, including the following: (1) a finding that the feasibility study meets the requirements of Title XVI; and (2) an approved determination of financial capability. In addition, the project phase must be in full compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act and other environmental laws before any funding will be released. Once these requirements have been met, a cooperative agreement will be executed and funds will be disbursed.

Also, please be advised that your application has been ranked, in part, based on your description of the benefits you expect to result from your project. Changes to the scope of the project phase described in your application may affect your proposal’s ranking and eligibility for Federal funds

under this FOA. Revisions to the scope of the project phase described in your application can be made only after Reclamation determines that revisions would be consistent with the selection process and that the expected benefits of the project phase would not be reduced.

For additional information, including a list of the other projects identified for funding, please see the announcement of selected projects which is available at [www.usbr.gov/WaterSMART](http://www.usbr.gov/WaterSMART). To receive information and announcements regarding upcoming activities under this program, please sign up for our email list by sending a blank email to [join-titlexvi@listserver.usbr.gov](mailto:join-titlexvi@listserver.usbr.gov).

Thank you for your interest and participation in the WaterSMART Grants program. The Reclamation regional or area office that will be responsible for awarding and administering your agreement will contact you to finalize your award. If you have questions concerning the next steps in awarding this agreement, please contact the WaterSMART Grants Coordinator, Mr. Dean Marrone, at 303-445-3577, or contact me at 303-445-2025.

Sincerely,

/s/

Michelle Maher  
Grants Officer



**Date:** June 20, 2011  
**To:** North Bay Water Reuse Authority Members  
**From:** Ginger Bryant, Bryant & Associates  
**Re:** June 2011 Federal Program Development

**FY 11 Program Funding**

Congratulations once again on the award of the \$1.29M WaterSMART Construction grant.

**Activities performed in support of the NBWRP**

Membership and Outreach Study

- Finalizing study summary memorandum - to be completed on schedule and budget Program Development
- Worked on Project Definition and Program Development scopes and budgets with SCWA and USBR
- Participated in meetings with USBR staff to discuss approaches for Phase 2 program construction and planning funds
- Coordinated with The Ferguson Group on potential program funding strategies to present to TAC/Board

**Program Development Next Steps**

- Continue to monitor/investigate program funding options
- Complete Phase 2 Membership and Outreach Study Memorandum
- Initiate activities associated with Project Definition

**KP Public Affairs**  
**NBWRA Short Bill Report - as of 6/15/2011**

**AB 83 (Jeffries R) Environment: CEQA exemption: recycled water pipeline.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 1/5/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 1/5/2011

**Status:** 5/10/2011-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was NAT. RES. on 4/11/2011)

**Location:** 5/10/2011-A. 2 YEAR

**Summary:** The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report (EIR) on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA exempts specified pipeline projects from the above requirements. This bill would additionally exempt a project for the installation of a new pipeline, not exceeding a specified length, for the distribution of recycled water within an improved public street, highway, or right-of-way. Because a lead agency, which may include a local agency, is required to determine whether a project qualifies for those exemptions, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

**AB 134 (Dickinson D) Appropriation of water: Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 4/15/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 1/12/2011

**Last Amend:** 4/15/2011

**Status:** 6/2/2011-Referred to Com. on N.R. & W.

**Location:** 6/2/2011-S. N.R. & W.

**Calendar:** 6/28/2011 9:30 a.m. - Room 112 SENATE NATURAL RESOURCES AND WATER, PAVLEY, Chair

**Summary:** Under existing law, the State Water Resources Control Board administers a water rights program pursuant to which the state board grants permits and licenses to appropriate water. This bill would authorize the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District to file an application for a permit to appropriate a specified amount of water that is based on the volume of treated wastewater that the district discharges into the Sacramento River, as specified. The bill would authorize the state board to grant a permit to appropriate that treated wastewater upon terms and conditions determined by the state board. The bill would require the board, prior to granting a permit pursuant to these provisions, to comply with permit, approval, and review requirements and other laws applicable to the appropriation of water. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

**AB 157****(Jeffries R) Safe, Clean, and Reliable Drinking Water Supply Act of 2012.****Current Text:** Introduced: 1/19/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Introduced:** 1/19/2011**Status:** 5/10/2011-Set for Hearing in W.,P. & W.**Location:** 5/10/2011-A. W.,P. & W.**Calendar:** 6/28/2011 9 a.m. - State Capitol, Room 437 ASSEMBLY WATER, PARKS AND WILDLIFE, HUFFMAN, Chair**Summary:** Existing law creates the Safe, Clean, and Reliable Drinking Water Supply Act of 2012, which, if approved by the voters at the November 6, 2012, statewide election, would authorize the issuance of bonds in the amount of \$11,140,000,000 pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law to finance a safe drinking water and water supply reliability program. This bill would reduce by 25% the total amount of bonds authorized to be issued pursuant to the Safe, Clean, and Reliable Drinking Water Supply Act of 2012, and would make conforming reductions to amounts specified to be allocated from these bond funds for certain purposes. This bill contains other related provisions.**AB 275****(Solorio D) Rainwater Capture Act of 2011.****Current Text:** Amended: 6/7/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Introduced:** 2/7/2011**Last Amend:** 6/7/2011**Status:** 6/14/2011-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on E.Q. (Ayes 9. Noes 0.) (June 14). Re-referred to Com. on E.Q.**Location:** 6/14/2011-S. E.Q.**Summary:** Under existing law, the State Water Resources Control Board (state board) and the California regional water quality control boards prescribe waste discharge requirements for the discharge of stormwater in accordance with the national pollutant discharge elimination system (NPDES) permit program and the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act. Existing law authorizes a city, county, or special district to develop, jointly or individually, stormwater resource plans that meet certain standards. This bill would enact the Rainwater Capture Act of 2011, which would authorize residential, commercial, and governmental landowners to install, maintain, and operate rain barrel systems, as defined, and rainwater capture systems, as defined, for specified purposes, provided that the systems comply with specified requirements. The bill would require a local agency to provide notification to the operator of a public water system, as defined, if the local agency chooses to adopt a permitting program for rainwater capture systems and approves a permit for a rainwater capture system connected to the public water system. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.**AB 806****(Torres D) Common interest developments.****Current Text:** Introduced: 2/17/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

**Status:** 5/12/2011-Referred to Coms. on T. & H. and JUD.

**Location:** 5/12/2011-S. T. & H.

**Summary:** The Davis-Stirling Common Interest Development Act provides for the creation and regulation of common interest developments. This bill, operative January 1, 2014, would make various technical conforming changes to reflect a proposed revision and recodification of the Davis-Stirling Common Interest Development Act, and the operation of this bill would be contingent upon the enactment and operation of that revision and recodification.

**AB 1048** (**Harkey R**) **Water quality: recycled water and wastewater: fluoride.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/18/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

**Status:** 5/10/2011-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was E.S. & T.M. on 3/16/2011)

**Location:** 5/10/2011-A. 2 YEAR

**Summary:** Under the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, the State Water Resources Control Board and the 9 California regional water quality control boards are the principal state agencies with responsibility for the coordination and control of water quality in the state. The act requires the state board to formulate and adopt state policies for water quality control, and requires the regional boards to adopt regional water quality control plans in compliance with the state policies. This bill would require the state board, on or before July 1, 2012, to adopt a statewide policy establishing standards for levels of fluoride in recycled water and wastewater, as specified. The bill would require the state board to provide direction to the regional boards to revise their respective regional water quality control plans to ensure consistency with that statewide policy.

**AB 1152** (**Chesbro D**) **Groundwater.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 4/28/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

**Last Amend:** 4/28/2011

**Status:** 6/8/2011-In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.

**Location:** 6/2/2011-S. N.R. & W.

**Calendar:** 6/28/2011 9:30 a.m. - Room 112 SENATE NATURAL RESOURCES AND WATER, PAVLEY, Chair

**Summary:** (1) Existing law authorizes specified entities to assume responsibility for monitoring and reporting groundwater elevations in all or a part of a groundwater basin or subbasin. Existing law requires an entity seeking to assume those functions to notify the Department of Water Resources, and requires the department to determine which entities will perform monitoring functions. Existing law requires monitoring entities to commence monitoring and reporting

groundwater elevations on or before January 1, 2012. This bill would authorize a monitoring entity to report groundwater elevations using specified alternate monitoring techniques for certain groundwater basins and subbasins meeting prescribed conditions. The bill would condition this authorization upon submission of a report to the department and a determination by the department that the monitoring entity may use the alternate monitoring techniques. The bill would require a monitoring entity, every 3 years, to submit to the department information establishing its continued eligibility to use the alternate monitoring techniques. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

**AB 1221 (Alejo D) State Water Quality Control Fund: State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 6/1/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

**Last Amend:** 6/1/2011

**Status:** 6/6/2011-In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.

**Location:** 6/6/2011-S. RLS.

**Summary:** Existing law, the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, authorizes the imposition and collection of civil and criminal penalties for specified violations of that act. The act requires certain moneys, including General Fund revenues of penalties, collected pursuant to these provisions to be deposited in the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account in the State Water Quality Control Fund. The act continuously appropriates the money in the account to the State Water Resources Control Board for specified cleanup programs. This bill would additionally authorize the state board to pay these moneys to tribes, as defined, and not-for-profit organizations serving disadvantaged communities, as defined, that have authority to clean up or abate the effects of waste. By authorizing new expenditures from a continuously appropriated account, this bill would make an appropriation. This bill contains other existing laws.

**SB 34 (Simitian D) California Water Resources Investment Act of 2011.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 4/13/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 12/6/2010

**Last Amend:** 4/13/2011

**Status:** 5/4/2011-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 5. Noes 4. Page 858.) (May 4). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

**Location:** 5/4/2011-S. APPR.

**Summary:** Under existing law, various measures provide funding for water resources projects, facilities, and programs. This bill would enact the California Water Resources Investment Act of 2011 to finance a water resources investment program. To finance the program, the bill would impose on each retail water supplier in the state an annual charge based on the volume of water provided in its service area that is provided for

nonagricultural uses and an annual charge based on each acre of land within its service area that is irrigated for agricultural purposes . The bill would require the State Board of Equalization to collect the charges from retail water suppliers in accordance with the Fee Collection Procedures Law, and would authorize the State Board of Equalization and the Department of Water Resources to adopt and enforce regulations for the administration and enforcement of the charges and related requirements as emergency regulations. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

**SB 834** (**Wolk** D) **Integrated regional water management plans: contents.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 5/4/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

**Last Amend:** 5/4/2011

**Status:** 5/27/2011-Referred to Com. on W., P. & W.

**Location:** 5/27/2011-A. W.,P. & W.

**Calendar:** 6/28/2011 9 a.m. - State Capitol, Room 437 ASSEMBLY WATER, PARKS AND WILDLIFE, HUFFMAN, Chair

**Summary:** The Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Act of 2002 authorizes a regional water management group, as defined, to prepare and adopt an integrated regional water management plan. The act requires an integrated regional water management plan to address specified water quality and water supply matters. This bill would additionally require an integrated regional water management plan to demonstrate the manner in which the plan complies with a specified state policy concerning reducing reliance on the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta for water supply and improving regional self-reliance for water, if the region covered by the plan receives water from the Delta watershed.

**SB 846** (**Berryhill** R) **The California Water Plan: water data.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 5/3/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

**Last Amend:** 5/3/2011

**Status:** 6/2/2011-Referred to Com. on W., P. & W.

**Location:** 6/2/2011-A. W.,P. & W.

**Calendar:** 6/28/2011 9 a.m. - State Capitol, Room 437 ASSEMBLY WATER, PARKS AND WILDLIFE, HUFFMAN, Chair

**Summary:** Existing law requires the Department of Water Resources to update every 5 years the plan for the orderly and coordinated control, protection, conservation, development, and use of the water resources of the state, which is known as The California Water Plan. Existing law prescribes the contents of The California Water Plan, and requires the department to include a discussion of various strategies in the plan, including strategies relating to the development of new water storage facilities, water conservation, water recycling, desalination, conjunctive use, and water transfers that may be

pursued in order to meet the future needs of the state. This bill would additionally require the department to include in the plan an assessment of the state's water data system and would prescribe requirements relating to the contents and conduct of the assessment .

**From:** Pilar Oñate-Quintana  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2011 2:55 PM  
**To:** 'Ginger Bryant'; 'William Long'; 'Mark Williams'; 'Beverly James'; cdegabriele@nmwd.com; 'Kevin Booker'; 'Renee Webber'; 'Pam Jeane'; THEALY@napasan.com; 'Miller, Phillip'; KEITH.CALDWELL@countyofnapa.org; 'Ridenhour, Don'; 'Howard Siegel'; jtucker@napasan.com; MayorJill@aol.com; 'Techel, Jill'; meganclark139@hotmail.com; 'Efren Carrillo'; 'David Rabbitt'; 'Susan McGuire'  
**Cc:** 'Chuck Weir'; 'Savage, Michael'; 'Loutsch, Andria'; jotoole@esassoc.com; thmcdaniel@gmail.com; martin@rauchcc.com  
**Subject:** IRWM Draft Funding Recommendations as Released Monday - Status

Hello all -

As initially discussed during the [NBWRP](#) TAC meeting Monday (given that DWR e-mailed the IRWM update during the TAC), DWR has released its “draft funding recommendations” for “Round 1” of the implementation grant funding under IRWM.

The Bay Area IRWM overall is recommended to receive the total amount requested (\$29,715,426 [was](#) requested for region – relevant DWR chart included as attached PDF).

So, basically – pending DWR finalization of these draft funding recommendations and pending sorting out of a couple of issues that may impact the Bay Area IRWM overall – NBWRP should be in line for the total \$2 million in funding requests included in the Bay Area IRWM. For your convenience, the four NBWRP projects (\$500 k each) as included in the IRWM are included in the chart at the bottom of this e-mail below.

In terms of timing of next steps as DWR works toward finalization of the recommendations, DWR has posted the following [steps](#) for May 31 and June 10 (in particular, I would expect NBWRP agencies may want to put together letters of support on the program funding prior to the June 10 deadline – I would be happy to work with Kevin to develop a suggested draft). Please stay tuned for further information on that front.

As always, if you have any questions, please feel free to give me a call (916) 498-7736 or send an e-mail.

Thank you.

Pilar

#### **DWR NEXT STEPS:**

##### **Open House and Public Comment Meeting**

An Open House will be held in advance of a Public Comment Meeting to discuss the applicants' proposal evaluations. The Open House will provide an opportunity for applicants, stakeholders, and the public to briefly discuss the above linked applicant proposal evaluations with DWR staff. Immediately following the Open House, DWR will provide a brief presentation of draft funding recommendations. Applicants, stakeholders, and the public are encouraged to provide public comments after the presentation. Alternatively, formal written comments can be submitted as directed below.

Please note that the presentation will not be available via webcast or webex.

**May 31, 2011**  
 12:30 - 2:00 pm - *Open House*  
 2:00 - 3:30 pm - *Public Comment Meeting*  
 Bonderson Building  
 Hearing Room  
 901 P Street  
 Sacramento, CA 95814

**How to Submit Public Comments**

The public comment period for Round 1 Proposition 84 Implementation Grant draft funding recommendations starts on May 23, 2011 and will close on **June 10, 2011**. Written comments can be submitted in hardcopy or electronic format (WORD, PDF) via the following methods:

Email:  
 Project Manager - Trevor Joseph [tjoseph@water.ca.gov](mailto:tjoseph@water.ca.gov)

U.S. Mail:  
 California Department of Water Resources  
 Division of Integrated Regional Water Management  
 Financial Assistance Branch  
 Post Office Box 942836  
 Sacramento, CA 94236 Attn: Trevor Joseph

**NBWRP projects as included in the Bay Area IRWM:**

E. North Bay Water Reuse Authority (includes 4 sub-projects)			1,490
i. North Marin Water District/Novato Sanitary District	Novato North Service Area Project	Treatment capacity expansion at the Novato Sanitary District’s Davidson WWTP to 1.7 MGD (peak day capacity), construction of distribution pump station, retrofit of the 0.5 MG Plum St. Tank for recycled water storage, and installation of 4.6 mi of pipeline.	186
ii. Las Gallinas Valley Sanitation District/North Marin Water District	Novato South Service Area Project	Implementation of 0.7 mgd treatment upgrade at the LGVSD WWTP or expansion of an existing territory treatment facility, construction of a booster pump station and 5.8 million pipeline	204

			distribution system, and retrofit of the 0.5 MG Reservoir Hill Tank for recycled water storage.	
iii.	Napa Sanitation District	Napa State Hospital Pipeline Construction, Stage 1	Construct 24” recycled water pipeline along Napa College and through the Napa State Hospital (NSH) property.	1,000
iv.	Sonoma Valley County Sanitation District	Sonoma Valley Recycled Water, Stage 1	Construction of distribution pipeline, improvements at SVCSD’s treatment plant, and design and construction of a recycled water reservoir with capacity of between 60-100 AF.	100

**Pilar Oñate-Quintana**

*KA PUBLIC AFFAIRS*

1201 K Street, Suite 800, Sacramento, CA 95814  
 p. 916.448.2162 f. 916.448.4923  
 w. [www.ka-pow.com](http://www.ka-pow.com) e. [ponate@ka-pow.com](mailto:ponate@ka-pow.com)

The contents of this e-mail and any attachments are intended solely for the use of the named addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized use, copying, disclosure, or distribution of the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited by the sender and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and delete this e-mail.

**Proposition 84 Round 1 Implementation Grant  
Draft Funding Recommendations**

Funding Area/ Maximum Allocation*	IRWM Region	Applicant	Grant Request	Score (out of 85)	Recommended Award
<b>Central Coast</b> <b>\$11,555,556</b>	Santa Barbara Countywide	Santa Barbara County Water Agency	\$3,000,996	60	\$3,000,996
	San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District	\$11,555,556	56	\$10,401,000
	Greater Monterey County	City of Soledad	\$4,868,441	55	\$4,139,000
	Monterey Peninsula, Carmel Bay, South Monterey Bay	Monterey Peninsula Water Management District	\$6,127,286	44	\$0
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$25,552,279</b>		<b>\$17,540,996</b>
<b>Colorado River Basin</b> <b>\$8,000,000</b>	Coachella Valley	Coachella Valley Water District	\$4,000,000	57	\$4,000,000
	Mojave	Mojave Water Agency*	\$8,000,000	53	\$6,000,000
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$12,000,000</b>		<b>\$10,000,000</b>
<b>Lahontan</b> <b>\$6,000,000</b>	Mojave	Mojave Water Agency*	\$2,000,000	53	\$2,000,000
	Antelope Valley	Antelope Valley - East Kern Water Agency	\$6,000,000	48	\$5,400,000
	Tahoe- Sierra	South Tahoe Public Utility District	\$5,744,944	42	\$0
	Inyo-Mono	Central Sierra Resource Conservation and Development, Inc.	\$4,299,858	39	\$0
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$18,044,802</b>		<b>\$7,400,000</b>
<b>Los Angeles - Ventura</b> <b>\$47,777,778</b>	Watersheds Coalition of Ventura County	County of Ventura	\$17,510,599	64	\$17,510,599
	Upper Santa Clara River	Castaic Lake Water Agency	\$7,700,053	57	\$6,931,000
	Greater Los Angeles County	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	\$32,000,000	56	\$25,600,000
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$57,210,652</b>		<b>\$50,041,599</b>
<b>North Coast</b> <b>\$8,222,222</b>	North Coast	County of Humboldt	\$8,221,061	61	\$8,221,061
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$8,221,061</b>		<b>\$8,221,061</b>
<b>Sacramento River</b> <b>\$16,222,222</b>	American River Basin	Regional Water Authority*	\$14,303,766	56	\$14,303,766
	Cosumnes, American, Bear Yuba	City of Nevada City†	\$3,197,503	51	\$3,197,503
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$17,501,269</b>		<b>\$17,501,269</b>
<b>San Diego</b> <b>\$20,222,222</b>	South Orange County Watershed Management Area	County of Orange	\$2,316,780	54	\$2,316,780
	San Diego	San Diego County Water Authority	\$7,900,000	48	\$7,900,000
	Upper Santa Margarita	Rancho California Water District	\$4,332,008	35	\$67,500*
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$14,548,788</b>		<b>\$10,284,280</b>
<b>San Francisco Bay Area</b> <b>\$30,666,667</b>	East Contra Costa County	Contra Costa Water District†	\$1,775,000	52	\$1,775,000
	San Francisco Bay Area	Bay Area Clean Water Agencies	\$29,715,426	49	\$29,715,426
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$31,490,426</b>		<b>\$31,490,426</b>
<b>San Joaquin River</b> <b>\$12,666,667</b>	Madera	Root Creek Water District	\$9,413,947	57	\$9,413,947
	American River Basin	Regional Water Authority*	\$1,918,456	56	\$1,727,000
	Mokelumne/ Amador/ Calaveras	Upper Mokelumne River Watershed Authority	\$2,703,327	54	\$2,298,000
	East Contra Costa County	Contra Costa Water District†	\$10,885,000	52	\$0
	Cosumnes, American, Bear Yuba	City of Nevada City†	\$712,761	51	\$0
	Eastern San Joaquin	Northeastern San Joaquin County Groundwater Banking Authority	\$1,345,644	48	\$0
	Westside-San Joaquin	San Luis and Delta-Mendota Water Authority‡	\$11,451,053	42	\$0
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$38,430,188</b>		<b>\$13,438,947</b>
<b>Santa Ana</b> <b>\$25,333,333</b>	Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority	Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority	\$12,660,004	52	\$12,660,004
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$12,660,004</b>		<b>\$12,660,004</b>
<b>Tulare Lake</b> <b>\$13,333,333</b>	Poso Creek	Semitropic Water Storage District	\$12,892,510	55	\$8,215,000
	Kaweah River Basin	Kaweah Delta Water Conservation District	\$7,286,423	55	\$4,643,000
	Upper Kings Water Forum	Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority	\$13,333,333	55	\$8,496,000
	Westside-San Joaquin	San Luis and Delta-Mendota Water Authority‡	\$992,600	42	\$0
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$34,504,866</b>		<b>\$21,354,000</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>\$200,000,000</b>	<b>\$270,164,335</b>		<b>\$200,000,082</b>

\* Maximum allocations as documented in Column D, Table 2, of the August 2010, P84 Implementation, Round 1 Proposal Solicitation Package  
† Application contains projects in two funding areas  
‡ Fund Joint Project with SDCWA

NBWRA Technical Advisory Committee Meeting  
June 20, 2011  
Information Item Summary

1. Reclamation signed Record of Decision January 26, 2011.
2. USFWS issued Biological Opinion July 7, 2010.
3. Section 106 Consultation Process Completed.
4. ESA coordinated consultant bi-monthly conference calls.
5. Finalized and distributed Cultural Resources Monitoring Plan to Member Agency staff for review. Received comments from NMWD.
6. Ohlone Mitigation Bank: SCWA proceeding with signature process.
7. Individual Projects:
  - a. NMWD South Project:
    - i. Reviewed NMWD South Project pipeline route revisions with Reclamation to identify NEPA and Section 106 processes. Anticipate proceeding with Addendum and Section 106 Area of Potential Effects (APE) Modification Letter.
    - ii. Preparing wetland delineation and Corps/CDFG/RWQCB permit applications for alignment.
    - iii. Conducted rare plant confirmation survey for off-road portions of alignment. Results Negative.
  - b. SVCSD Watmaugh Road Alignment:
    - i. Reviewed with Reclamation staff to identify NEPA and Section 106 processes. Anticipate proceeding with Addendum and Section 106 Cultural Resources Report Addendum to review new alignment.
    - ii. Conducting rare plant confirmation survey for off-road portions of alignment.
  - c. Napa State Hospital.
    - i. Project proceeding with construction, conducted rare plant clearance survey and assisting Napa San with contractor training and project review.
    - ii. Assisting with CDFG permitting at stream crossings.

NBWRA Technical Advisory Committee Meeting  
May 23, 2011  
Information Item Summary

1. Reclamation signed Record of Decision January 26, 2011.
2. USFWS issued Biological Opinion July 7, 2010.
3. Section 106 Consultation Process Completed.
4. ESA coordinated consultant bi-monthly conference calls.
5. Finalized and distributed Cultural Resources Monitoring Plan to Member Agency staff for review. Received comments from NMWD.
6. Ohlone Mitigation Bank: Draft contract submitted to SCWA for signature and payment May 19, 2011.
7. Individual Projects:
  - a. NMWD South Project:
    - i. Reviewed NMWD South Project pipeline route revisions with Reclamation to identify NEPA and Section 106 processes. Anticipate proceeding with Addendum and Section 106 Area of Potential Effects (APE) Modification Letter.
    - ii. Preparing wetland delineation and Corps/CDFG/RWQCB permit applications for alignment.
    - iii. Conducted rare plant confirmation survey for off-road portions of alignment.
  - b. SVCSD Watmaugh Road Alignment:
    - i. Reviewed with Reclamation staff to identify NEPA and Section 106 processes. Anticipate proceeding with Addendum and Section 106 Cultural Resources Report Addendum to review new alignment.
    - ii. Conducting rare plant confirmation survey for off-road portions of alignment.
  - c. Napa State Hospital.
    - i. Project proceeding with construction, conducted rare plant clearance survey and assisting Napa San with contractor training and project review.
    - ii. Assisted in discussions with Los Carneros.